

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Lawker

------000:0:000-----

Application of

Hiroyuki MURAMATSU et al.

Serial No. 09/748,326

: Group Art Unit - 2834

Filed: December 26, 2000 : Examiner: Mark Osborne Budd

For: PULSE DETECTING DEVICE

AND METHOD OF MANUFAC-

TURING THE SAME

Docket No. S004-4175

-----000:0:000-----

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

## RESPONSE

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated November 27, 2001, applicants amend their application as follows:

## ELECTION OF INVENTION:

Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, the invention characterized by the Examiner as Group I drawn to a piezoelectric pulse detection device and submit that claims 1-17 are readable on the elected invention.



## **REMARKS**

In the last Action, restriction was required between claims 1-17 drawn to a piezoelectric pulse detection device and claim 18 drawn to a method of manufacturing a piezoelectric device. The Examiner stated that the two inventions are patentably distinct from each other, thereby making restriction proper. Applicants were required to elect one of the two inventions for further prosecution in this application.

In response to the restriction requirement, applicant has provisionally elected the invention of Group I drawn to a piezoelectric pulse detection device and submit that claims 1-17 are readable on the elected invention. The non-elected claims have been retained in the application pending possible withdrawal of the restriction requirement or applicants' decision to pursue the subject matter thereof in a continuing application.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS

Attorneys for Applicants

Dir.

ruce L. Adams

Reg. No. 25,386

50 Broadway 31st Floor New York, NY 10004